



# MA -500 Boston Continuum of Care FY21 Renewal Project Scoring Tool

Project Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_

First Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_ First Reviewer Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Second Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Second Reviewer Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Pass / Fail	Notes
<b>Project Quality Threshold Criteria; HUD will review information in eLOCCS, APRs, CAS warehouse data, Core Demographics / racial equity dashboard data and information provided from the local HUD CPD field office; including monitoring reports and audit reports, and performance standards on prior grants, and will assess projects using the following criteria on a pass/fail basis:</b>	Applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application,			
	Applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met			
	Applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success,			
	Applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site			
	Prioritizes households with the longest time homeless and highest barriers to housing, through the CoCs CE system			

If project does not have a "pass" in ALL of the above criteria, the project application does not meet minimum CoC and HUD threshold for funding and is not eligible for inclusion in the Boston CoC 2021 application to HUD.

Consensus (Yes/No): \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Point Scale	Weight	Score
	1. Exits from RRH and retention in PSH	HMIS, SPM warehouse score card, APR			
	1.a. <b>RRH</b> : Exits RRH to PH (benchmark 80%)	HMIS, SPM warehouse score card, APR	12 pts: 100-75% 6 pts: 74-55% 4 pts: 54-25% 0 pts: 24% - 0%	<b>Weight 41%</b>	
	1.b. <b>PSH</b> : Stayed in PH for over 12 months (benchmark 80%) (leavers and stayers)	HMIS, SPM warehouse score card, APR	12 pts: 100-75% 6 pts: 74-55% 4 pts: 54-25% 0 pts: 24% - 0%		
	2. Increased jobs and income growth through <b>employment</b> by 20% (either through annual assessment or leavers)	HMIS, SPM warehouse score card, APR	12 pts: 100-75% 6 pts: 74-55% 4 pts: 54-25% 0 pts: 24% - 0%		
	3. Income growth through other <b>(non-employment)</b> income by 20% (either through annual assessment or leavers)	HMIS, SPM warehouse score card, APR	12 pts: 100-75% 6 pts: 74-55% 4 pts: 54-25% 0 pts: 24% - 0%		
	4. Time to Lease Up; avg days from project admit date to date housed-project  question 22c - average length of time to housing	HMIS, SPM warehouse score card, APR	12 pts: 30 day or less 6 pts: 31-60 day 4 pts: 61-180 days 0 pts: over 180 days		

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Point Scale	Weight	Score
HMIS data quality	6. Personal identifying information error rate	HMIS	5 pts: 20%-0 0 pts: 21% and above	Weight <b>46%</b>	
	7. Universal data elements error rate	HMIS	5 pts: 20%-0 0 pts: 21% and above		
	8. Income and housing data quality	HMIS	5 pts: above 80% 0 pts: below 79%		
Project financial performance based on CoC/DND monitoring and invoicing	9. Type of housing project proposal; RRH, PSH, Joint TH-RRH	Project application	6: PSH 3: RRH/Joint TH-PH		
	10. Invoicing- submit invoices- on time, with all back up materials, and for eligible activities	CoC monitoring report/ Agency external audit	6 pts: invoices regularly submitted on time  3 pts: usually on time, complete with few errors  1 pt: submit quarterly/not monthly		
	11. Is project efficient with funds- do calculation of cost per person served in each project (include HUD funds and match total and compare standard practice: CH 1 FTE for 15-20 households, families \$4,000 per year, RRH 1 FTE to 20-30 clients per yr.	APR, Project Application Budget	6 pts: if standard is met and funding is equal to or less than per person/family ratio  0 pts: if not met and more funds per person/family		
	12. Required match based on project budget \$_____	Project Application	6 pts: yes 0 pts: no		
	Was the match reported at end of operating year <b>greater</b> than the minimum required?				
13. Project returns unobligated funds in FY18 and/or FY19	eLoccs/ DND budget tracking	6 pts: 0 - 10% return 0 pts: 11 - 20%			
14. Project utilization rate from current project operating year	APR (quarterly average for	6 pts: 85 -over 100% 3 pt: 84 - 75% 0 pts: under 74%			

		operating year)			
--	--	-----------------	--	--	--

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Point Scale	Weight	Score
Alignment with HUD and Boston CoC system-wide policy priorities	15. Serving sub-populations in line with HUD and Boston CoC priorities: *Serving chronically homeless households, *Serving homeless youth; *Serving veterans; *Serving people fleeing domestic violence *Serving families with children	Project Application	Up to 6 pts: 1.2 pts for each sub-population served		
	16. Project practices a Housing First model/low barrier program	Project Application and cross reference with desk review	6 pts: yes 0 pts: no		
	17. Does project serve participants who have the following vulnerabilities and severity of needs that may prevent them to enter housing due to the following barriers: *Vulnerability to victimization (history of DV); * Criminal histories *Current or past substance abuse *Very little or no income at entry * Chronic homelessness *Only project of its kind in the CoC, serving a special homeless population/sub-population	APR	Up to 6 pts: 1 pts for each sub-population served		

<p>Racial Equity</p> <p>To answer “yes” under this element, projects must submit evidence or an attestation that satisfies the scoring element criteria.</p> <p>To qualify, the actions must have been taken within the last three years.</p>	<p>19. The project applicant assessed whether black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC) face barriers to equitably accessing or receiving services from their organization.</p>	<p>City Application</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>		
	<p>20. The applicant addressed the above assessment or made a written commitment to addressing BIPOC barriers identified, OR no barriers were identified in the assessment above.</p>	<p>City Application</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>		
	<p>21. The applicant assess whether lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and other people whose genders or sexualities are heteronormative (LGBTQ+) people face barriers to equitably accessing or receiving services from their organization.</p>	<p>City Application</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>		
	<p>22. Does the project applicant address or made a written commitment to addressing LGBTQ+ barriers identified above, OR no barriers were identified in the assessment above.</p>	<p>City Application</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>		
				<p><b>Total Available 117</b></p>	<p><b>TOTAL</b></p>

\*= system performance measurements